UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

CASE NO.:

YANET VERONICA DILL and CRAIG DILL,

Plaintiffs,

v.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

RANDY HERSCOVICI, an individual; and S HARBOR CITY, INC. a Florida corporation d/b/a RIDERS ON THE STORM INN,

Defendants.	
	/

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

Plaintiffs, YANET VERONICA DILL (hereinafter "Y. DILL") and CRAIG DILL (hereinafter "C. DILL") by and through their undersigned attorney, file this, their Complaint for Damages against Defendants, RANDY HERSCOVICI (hereinafter "HERSCOVICI") and S HARBOR CITY, INC. d/b/a RIDERS ON THE STORM INN (hereinafter "RIDERS") and states as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. This is an action to recover unpaid overtime compensation under the Fair Labor Standards Act, as amended, 29 U.S.C. § 201 et. seq, (hereinafter "FLSA").

JURISDICTION

2. Court has jurisdiction over this controversy pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b) and 28 U.S.C. §1331. At all times pertinent to this Complaint, RIDERS operated a business enterprise (motel),

respectively, engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce as defined in §3(r) and 3(s) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §203(r) and 203(s).

- 3. Plaintiffs' work for Defendants involved handling on a regular and recurrent basis "goods" or "materials," as defined by the FLSA, which were used commercially in Defendants' business, and moved in interstate commerce. These materials included office supplies, telephones, tools, electronic equipment, paper, bedding supplies, cleaners, and other materials necessary for the operation of a motel—all manufactured outside the State of Florida.
- 4. During the relevant time period, the Defendants employed at least two employees who "engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce," or they "had employees handling, selling or otherwise working on goods or materials that have been moved in or produced for commerce by any person," as defined in 29 U.S.C. §203(s)(1)(A)(i). These materials included office supplies, telephones, tools, cleaners, bedding supplies, computers, electronic equipment, paper, and other materials necessary for the operation of a motel—and, were manufactured outside the State of Florida.
- 5. In addition to the foregoing, the Plaintiffs are entitled to the protections of the FLSA as they were "individually covered" by that statute. During the Plaintiffs' employment, they were required to use instrumentalities of interstate commerce (telephone/internet) on a regular and recurrent basis to, *inter alia*, assist in operating the Defendants' motel, particularly as to communications with motel ownership and handling guest matters.
- 6. The Defendants are subject to the jurisdiction of this Court because they engage in substantial and not isolated activity within the Southern District of Florida.
- 7. The Defendants are also subject to the jurisdiction of this Court because they operate, conduct, engage in, and/or carry on business in the Southern District of Florida.

VENUE

- 8. The venue of this Court over this controversy is based upon the following:
 - a. The unlawful employment practices alleged below occurred and/or were committed in the Southern District of Florida.

 and,
 - b. Defendants were and continue to be a corporation and individuals doing business within the Southern District of Florida.

PARTIES

- 9. At all times material hereto, Plaintiffs, Y. DILL and C. DILL were residents of Melbourne Florida, inside the Southern District of Florida.
- 10. At all times material hereto, Plaintiffs, Y. DILL and C. DILL were "employees" of the Defendants within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 11. During all times set forth in this Complaint, RIDERS was a Florida corporation doing business in the Southern District of Florida.
- 12. At all times material hereto, the Defendants were conducting business in Melbourne, Florida, within the Southern District of Florida.
- 13. At all times material hereto, Defendants were the "employers "of Plaintiffs, Y. DILL and C. DILL, within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 14. At all times material hereto, Defendants failed to pay Plaintiffs, Y. DILL and C. DILL overtime wages in conformance with the FLSA.
- 15. Defendants committed a willful, malicious, and unlawful violation of the FLSA and, therefore, are liable for monetary damages.

- 16. At all times material hereto, RIDERS was an "enterprise engaged in commerce" within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 17. At all times material hereto, the work performed by Plaintiffs was directly essential to the business performed by Defendants.
- 18. Plaintiffs have fulfilled all conditions precedent to the institution of this action, and/or such conditions have been waived.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 19. From or about July 4, 2016 through or about August 9, 2022, Y. DILL and C. DILL were employed by the Defendants as caretakers to work at the Defendants' motel in Melbourne, Florida.
- 20. While employed, Y. DILL and C. DILL handled Defendants' motel office paperwork, handled reservations, checked guests in/out, took payments, made/received telephone calls, assisted guests throughout their shifts, handle customer complaints, maintenance, cleaning (housekeeping), accepting guest payments, laundry, contacting vendors, painting, tree trimming, electrical, plumbing, general carpentry, air conditioning, and other day-to-day motel-related tasks.
- 21. The Plaintiffs would regularly and recurrently each week, speak to HERSCOVICI (by telephonic and/or electronic transmission) who was physically outside the State of Florida, regarding motel business.
- 22. The Defendants failed to keep records in full compliance with the FLSA's recordkeeping requirements.
- 23. The Plaintiffs generally worked shifts from about 6:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., seven days per week. In addition, they were on call 24/7, and would perform a variety of work in the evenings

or early morning (*i.e.*, guests locked out of rooms; breakages in rooms; toilets clogged, etc.)—for approximately another two hours each day, beyond their regular shift, seven days per week.

- 24. The Plaintiffs each worked about 14 hours per day, or about 98 hours per week (14 hours per day x 7 day), they are owed for approximately 58 hours of overtime each week (98 40 = 58), respectively.
- 25. During his employment, C. DILL was paid \$800.00 per week for his approximate 98 hours of work.
- 26. During her employment, Y. DILL's compensation would fluctuate from week-to-week, however, on average, Y. DILL was paid an average of about \$1,300 per week for her approximate 98 hours of work.
- 27. Although the Plaintiffs, Y. DILL, and C. DILL worked in excess of forty hours per week, Defendants failed to pay them overtime wages for their hours in excess of 40 per week.
- 28. Defendants knowingly, willfully, and maliciously operated their business with a policy of not paying minimum and overtime wages, respectively, for each hour Plaintiffs worked in conformance with the applicable law.
- 29. Defendant HERSCOVICI was the owner and operator of RIDERS and was aware at all times that the Plaintiffs were not being paid overtime wages. Therefore, he is personally liable for the FLSA violations.
- 30. HERSCOVICI was involved in the scheduling of the Plaintiffs' hours and their work hours and was directly involved in the wages they were paid.
- 31. Plaintiffs have retained Bober & Bober, P.A. to represent them in this litigation and have agreed to pay the firm a reasonable fee for its services.

STATEMENT OF CLAIM:

VIOLATION OF 29 U.S.C. § 207 (UNPAID OVERTIME)

- 32. Plaintiffs, Y. DILL and C. DILL, reallege Paragraphs 1 through 31 as if fully stated herein.
- 33. Since Plaintiffs' date of hire with Defendants, in addition to Plaintiffs' normal regular work week, the Plaintiffs worked additional hours in excess of forty (40) per week for which they were not compensated at the statutory rate of time and one-half.
- 34. Plaintiffs were entitled to be paid at the rate of time and one-half for their hours worked in excess of the maximum hours provided in the FLSA.
- 35. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiffs overtime compensation in the lawful amount for hours worked by Plaintiffs in excess of the maximum hours provided in the FLSA.
- 36. Defendants knew of and/or showed a willful disregard for the provisions of the FLSA as evidenced by their failure to compensate Plaintiffs at the statutory rate of time and one-half for the hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week when they knew or should have known such was due. Defendants also failed to properly disclose or apprise Plaintiffs of their rights under the FLSA.
- 37. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' willful disregard of the FLSA, Plaintiffs are entitled to liquidated damages pursuant to the FLSA.
- 38. Due to the willful, malicious, and unlawful acts of Defendants, Plaintiffs have suffered damages in the amount not presently ascertainable of unpaid overtime wages, plus an equal

amount as liquidated damages their reasonable attorney's fees and costs pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §

216(b).

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully request that judgment be entered in their favor

against the Defendants:

a. Declaring that the Defendants have violated the maximum hour provisions of 29

U.S.C. § 207;

b. Awarding Plaintiffs overtime compensation in the amounts calculated;

c. Awarding Plaintiffs liquidated damages;

d. Awarding Plaintiffs reasonable attorney's fees and costs and expenses of this

litigation pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b);

e. Awarding Plaintiffs post-judgment interest; and

f. Ordering any other and further relief this Court deems to be just.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiffs demand trial by jury on all issues so triable as of right by jury.

Date: October 31, 2022.

Respectfully submitted,

BOBER & BOBER, P.A.

Attorneys for Plaintiff

2699 Stirling Road

Suite A-304

Hollywood, FL 33312

Phone: (954) 922-2298

Fax: (954) 922-5455

peter@boberlaw.com

By: /s/. Peter J. Bober

FBN: 0122955

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

The state of the s	STREETIENS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FOREIN,					
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		DEFENDANTS				
Yanet Veronica Dill and Craig Dill			S Harbor City, Inc., a Florida corporation d/b/a Riders on the Storm Inn; and, Randy Herscovici, an individual			
(b) County of Residence	of First Listed Plaintiff Brevard		County of Residence of	of First Listed Defendant	Broward	
* /	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES		
`	,		NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.			
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name,	Address, and Telephone Number)		Attorneys (If Known)			
	599 Stirling Road, Suite-A304,					
Hollywood, Florida 333						
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF P (For Diversity Cases Only)	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)	
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)		P	FF DEF □ 1 Incorporated or Pr of Business In Thi	rincipal Place	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity	Citize	en of Another State	2 Incorporated and I of Business In 1		
	(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citiza	en or Subject of a	3 G 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6	
-			reign Country	5 D 5 Poleigh Nation		
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		FOR		D I MY DY IDTOX	OWNED OF A WANTED	
CONTRACT ☐ 110 Insurance	TORTS PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJURY		FEITURE/PENALTY 10 Agriculture	BANKRUPTCY 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	OTHER STATUTES 400 State Reapportionment	
☐ 120 Marine	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 362 Personal Injury		20 Other Food & Drug	☐ 422 Appear 28 USC 138	☐ 410 Antitrust	
☐ 130 Miller Act☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability Med. Malpractice Med. Malpractice G 365 Personal Injury		25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881	28 USC 157	☐ 430 Banks and Banking☐ 450 Commerce	
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 320 Assault, Libel & Product Liability	/ □ 6	30 Liquor Laws	PROPERTY RIGHTS	460 Deportation	
& Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act	Slander 368 Asbestos Person 330 Federal Employers' Injury Product		40 R.R. & Truck 50 Airline Regs.	☐ 820 Copyrights ☐ 830 Patent	☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations	
☐ 151 Medicale Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability Liability		60 Occupational	☐ 840 Trademark	☐ 480 Consumer Credit	
Student Loans (Excl. Veterans)	□ 340 Marine PERSONAL PROPEI □ 345 Marine Product □ 370 Other Fraud		Safety/Health 90 Other		☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV ☐ 810 Selective Service	
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	g	LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	□ 850 Securities/Commodities/	
of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits	□ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 380 Other Personal □ 355 Motor Vehicle Property Damage		10 Fair Labor Standards Act	☐ 861 HIA (1395ff) ☐ 862 Black Lung (923)	Exchange 875 Customer Challenge	
☐ 190 Other Contract	Product Liability 385 Property Damage		20 Labor/Mgmt. Relations	☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	12 USC 3410	
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise	360 Other Personal Product Liability Injury	7 🗖 7	30 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting & Disclosure Act	☐ 864 SSID Title XVI ☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions☐ 891 Agricultural Acts	
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITIO		40 Railway Labor Act	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	☐ 892 Economic Stabilization Act	
☐ 210 Land Condemnation☐ 220 Foreclosure	☐ 441 Voting ☐ 510 Motions to Vaca ☐ 442 Employment Sentence		90 Other Labor Litigation 91 Empl. Ret. Inc.	☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)	□ 893 Environmental Matters □ 894 Energy Allocation Act	
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	☐ 443 Housing/ Habeas Corpus:		Security Act	□ 871 IRS—Third Party	895 Freedom of Information	
☐ 240 Torts to Land	Accommodations		•	26 USC 7609	Act	
245 Tort Product Liability290 All Other Real Property	☐ 444 Welfare ☐ 535 Death Penalty ☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - ☐ 540 Mandamus & O	other			900Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access	
	Employment				to Justice	
	Other 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - 555 Prison Condition	n			☐ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights					
■1 Original □ 2 R	tan "X" in One Box Only) Removed from 3 Remanded from	□ 4 _{Rein}	stated or 🗀 🥇 anoth	ferred from		
Proceeding S	tate Court Annellate Court	Reor	nened (eneci	fy) Litigation	1 Judgment	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Brief description of cause:	are ming (Do not cite jurisuiction	an statutes unless diversity).		
	Unpaid overtime					
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	☐ CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTIO UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	ON D	EMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint: Yes No	
VIII. RELATED CASS	E(S) (See instructions): JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE	SIGNATURE OF A	TTORNEY	OF RECORD			
10/31/2022	Peter Bob	er				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	, 5005 5 60	<u> </u>				
RECEIPT#	AMOUNT APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	MAG. JUI	OGE	

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Southern District of Florida

Yanet Veronica Dill ar	nd Craig Dill,)		
Plaintiff)		
v.) Ci	vil Action No.	
S Harbor City, Inc., a Florida corporation d/b/a Riders on the Storm Inn; and, Randy Herscovici))		
Defendant)		
	SUMMONS II	N A CIVIL	ACTION	
	Randy Herscovici 834 North Victoria Park F Fort Lauderdale, FL 3330			
A lawsuit has been filed against you. Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Peter Bober, Esq. Bober & Bober, P.A. 2699 Stirling Road, Suite A-304 Hollywood, FL 33312 Telephone: 954-922-2298 Fax: 954-922-5455				
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.				
			CLERK OF COURT	
Date:				
			Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk	

AO 440 (Rev. 12/09) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (1))

	This summons for (na.	me of individual and title, if any)					
was re	ceived by me on (date)						
	☐ I personally served	I the summons on the individual at	t (place)				
			on (date)				
	☐ I left the summons	at the individual's residence or us	sual place of abode with (name)				
		, a person o	f suitable age and discretion who resid	sides there,			
	on (date)	, and mailed a copy to the	ne individual's last known address; or				
	☐ I served the summer	ons on (name of individual)		, who is			
	designated by law to	accept service of process on behal	f of (name of organization)				
			on (date)	; or			
	☐ I returned the sum	mons unexecuted because		; or			
	☐ Other (specify):						
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00			
	I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.						
Date:			Server's signature				
			Printed name and title				
			Server's address				

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Southern District of Florida

Yanet Veronica Dill a	nd Craig Dill,)		
Plaintiff Plaintiff)		
v.)	Civil Action No.	
S Harbor City, Inc., a Florida corporation d/b/a Riders on the Storm Inn; and, Randy Herscovici)		
Defendant)		
	SUMMONS I	N A СГ	VIL ACTION	
To: (Defendant's name and address)	S Harbor City, Inc. d/b/a Randy Herscovici, as Re 757 SE 17 Street, # 273 Fort Lauderdale, FL 330	gistered		
A lawsuit has been filed	d against you.			
Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Peter Bober, Esq. Bober & Bober, P.A. 2699 Stirling Road, Suite A-304 Hollywood, FL 33312 Telephone: 954-922-2298 Fax: 954-922-5455				
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.				
			CLERK OF COURT	
Date:				
			Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk	

AO 440 (Rev. 12/09) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (1))

	This summons for (nan	ne of individual and title, if any)							
was re	ceived by me on (date)								
	☐ I personally served	the summons on the individual at (place	re)						
			on (date)	; or					
	☐ I left the summons	at the individual's residence or usual p	place of abode with (name)						
		, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,							
	on (date)	, and mailed a copy to the inc	dividual's last known address; or						
	☐ I served the summo	ons on (name of individual)		, who is					
	designated by law to a	accept service of process on behalf of	(name of organization)						
			on (date)						
	☐ I returned the summ	mons unexecuted because		; or					
	☐ Other (specify):								
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00					
	I declare under penalty								
Date:			g ,						
			Server's signature						
			Printed name and title						
			1 птей пате ини ине						
			G 1 11						
			Server's address						

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc: